Application No. 10/772489
Page 5

Amendment
Attorney Docket No. P68.2B-11477-US01

REMARKS

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated August 1, 2005. Each issue in the action is discussed below.

§103 Rejections

(2)

Claims 1-2, 4, 6-9 and 11-15 were rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Siemens (US 2001/0054643).

In response, to further prosecution, the subject matter of claim 10, as well as intervening claims 2, 6 and 8, has been incorporated into claim 1. Independent claim 13 has also been amended to incorporate the subject matter of claim 10. Various corresponding dependency amendments have also been made.

(3)

Claims 1-7, 9 and 12-15 were rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Moreno (US 2002/0035515).

In response, to further prosecution, the subject matter of claim 10, as well as intervening claims 2, 6 and 8, has been incorporated into claim 1. Independent claim 13 has also been amended to incorporate the subject matter of claim 10. Various corresponding dependency amendments have also been made.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In response, to further prosecution, the subject matter of claim 10, as well as intervening claims 2, 6 and 8, has been incorporated into claim 1.

Application No. 10/772489 Page 6 Amendment Attorney Docket No. P68.2B-11477-US01

The application should now be in condition for allowance. Allowance is therefore earnestly solicited. If the Examiner would like to further discuss the case, he is encouraged to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS

Date: December 1, 2005

William E. Anderson II Registration No.: 37766

6109 Blue Circle Drive, Suite 2000 Minnetonka, MN 55343-9185 Telephone: (952) 563-3000 Facsimile: (952) 563-3001

f:\wpwork\wea\11477us01_amd_20051130.doc